

PROBLEM SET 7

Problem 1 (Probit Selection Model)

Consider the following Probit selection model:

$$\begin{aligned}Y_1 &= Y_2 \cdot Y_1^* \\Y_1^* &= X_1\beta_1 + U_1, \\Y_2 &= 1[Y_2^* \geq 0], \\Y_2^* &= X_2\beta_2 + U_2,\end{aligned}$$

from which one obtains a random sample of observations of (Y_1, Y_2, X_1, X_2) , denoted $\{(y_{1i}, y_{2i}, x_{1i}, x_{2i}) : i\}$ where Y_1^* is the outcome variable of interest and the realization of Y_2 determines whether $Y_1 = Y_1^*$ or $Y_1 = 0$. Assume that (U_1, U_2) and $X = (X_1, X_2)$ are independent, and that (U_1, U_2) are bivariate normally distributed, each with mean zero, variances $\text{var}(U_1) = \text{var}(U_2) = 1$, and covariance $\text{cov}(U_1, U_2) = \rho$

Q1 What is the selection probability $\Pr\{Y_2 = 1|X = x\}$? How can you consistently estimate the parameters of this equation?

Answer $\Pr\{Y_2 = 1|X = x\} = \Phi(x_2\beta_2)$. β_2 is consistently estimated by running a probit regression of Y_2 on X_2 , as long as $E[X_2'X_2]$ is invertible.

Q2 Solve for the conditional density for Y_1 given $Y_2 = 1$ and X . What is $E[Y_1|Y_2 = 1, X = x]$?

Hint: By joint normality of U_1 and U_2 , the conditional distribution of U_1 given $U_2 > c$ can be deduced as a function of c and ρ as

$$g(u_1; c, \rho) = f(u_1|U_2 > c) = \frac{1}{1 - \Phi(c)} \int_c^\infty f(u_1|U_2 = u_2) \phi(u_2) du_2,$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ and $\Phi(\cdot)$ denote the standard normal pdf and cdf, respectively. You may use the function $g(u_1; c, \rho)$ in your answer.

Furthermore, recall that the distribution of U_1 conditional on $U_2 = c$ is

$$U_1| (U_2 = c) \sim \mathcal{N}(\rho c, 1 - \rho^2),$$

with conditional pdf

$$f_{U_1|U_2}(u_1|U_2 = c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \phi\left(\frac{u_1 - \rho c}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\right),$$

i.e. the pdf of a normal random variable with mean ρc and variance $1 - \rho^2$. Because U_1 is normally distributed with mean zero and unit variance,

$$E[U_1|U_1 > c] = \frac{\phi(c)}{1 - \Phi(c)}.$$

Answer Conditional on $Y_2 = 1$, we have $Y_1 = X_1\beta_1 + U_1$. The conditional distribution of Y_1 is therefore

$$Y_1|Y_2 = 1, x \sim x_1\beta_1 + U_1|Y_2 = 1, X = x.$$

The distribution of U_1 given $(X = x, Y_2 = 1)$ is that of $U_1|U_2 > -x_2\beta_2$. Therefore the density of Y_1 given $(X = x, Y_2 = 1)$ is

$$f_{Y_1|X, Y_2}(y_1|x, 1) = g(y_1 - x_1\beta_1; -x_2\beta_2, \rho),$$

which is that of $U_1|U_2 > -x_2\beta_2$ shifted by $x_1\beta_1$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_1|Y_2 = 1, X = x] &= E[Y_1|U_2 > -x_2\beta_2, X = x] \\ &= x_1\beta_1 + E[U_1|U_2 > -x_2\beta_2], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E[U_1|U_2 > -x_2\beta_2] &= \frac{1}{\Pr\{U_2 > -x_2\beta_2\}} \int_{-x_2\beta_2}^{\infty} E[U_1|U_2 = u_2] \phi(u_2) du_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\Phi(x_2\beta_2)} \int_{-x_2\beta_2}^{\infty} \rho u_2 \phi(u_2) du_2 \\ &= \rho \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\Phi(x_2\beta_2)} \int_{-x_2\beta_2}^{\infty} u_2 \phi(u_2) du_2 \right) \\ &= \rho \cdot E[U_2|U_2 > -x_2\beta_2] \end{aligned}$$

Thus $E[Y_1|Y_2 = 1, X = x] = x_1\beta_1 + \rho\lambda(-x_2\beta_2)$ where $\lambda(c) = \frac{\phi(c)}{1 - \Phi(c)}$.

Q3 What is the log likelihood for a random sample of observations of (Y_1, Y_2, X_1, X_2) ?

Answer

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta, \rho) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\Pr\{Y_2 = 0|X = x_i\}^{1-y_{i2}} \cdot [f_{Y_1|X, Y_2}(y_{i1}|x_i, 1) \Pr\{Y_2 = 1|X = x_i\}]^{y_{i2}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - y_{i2}) \log[1 - \Phi(x_{i2}\beta_2)] + y_{i2} \log\{g(y_{i1} - x_{i1}\beta_1; -x_{i2}\beta_2, \rho) \cdot \Phi(x_{i2}\beta_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Q4 Propose two different ways to consistently estimate β_1 . Which provides a more efficient estimator asymptotically?

Answer (i) A two stage procedure. First run a probit regression of y_{i2} on x_{i2} to consistently estimate β_2 . Then estimate $E[Y_1|Y_2 = 1, X = x] = x_1\beta_1 + \rho\lambda(-x_2\beta_2)$ in a second stage linear mean regression of y_{i1} on x_{i1} and $\lambda(-x_{i2}\hat{\beta}_2)$ to produce consistent estimates for β_1 and ρ .

(ii) Maximum likelihood: maximize the likelihood from Q3 above. Maximum likelihood will provide an asymptotically efficient estimator.

Q5 Are the parameters β_1, β_2, ρ identified? What further conditions do you need to impose, if any?

Answer Possible answer 1: From the two stage procedure of Q4 we see that invertibility of $E[X_2'X_2]$ is sufficient for identification of β_1 . Invertibility of $E[(X_1, \lambda(-x_2\beta_2))'(X_1, \lambda(-x_2\beta_2))]$ is sufficient for identification of β_2 and ρ .

Possible answer 2: Sufficient conditions for $E[\frac{1}{n}l(\beta, \rho)]$ to be concave in (β, ρ) will guarantee identification.

Q6 Why might one wish to test the hypothesis that $\rho = 0$? What implication would this have?

Answer $\rho = 0$ would mean that U_1 and U_2 are independent conditional on X . Therefore selection/censoring is exogenous conditional on X and one may consistently estimate β_1 simply by running a linear regression of the non-censored observations of Y_1 on X_1 since in this case $E[Y_1|Y_2 = 1, X = x] = x_1\beta_1$.