

## PROBLEM SET 5

### *Problem 1 (The type I Tobit model with neglected heterogeneity)*

Consider the following model of censoring

$$\begin{aligned} Y^* &= X\beta + W\gamma + \epsilon, \\ Y &= \max(Y^*, 0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(X, W) \perp \epsilon$ ,  $X \perp W$ , and  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , where  $\perp$  denotes stochastic independence.

- (a) Suppose a random sample of observations of  $(Y, X, W)$  is observed. Can the parameters  $\beta, \gamma$  be consistently estimated, and if so, how?
- (b) Suppose now that  $W$  is not observed, but rather only a random sample of  $(Y, X)$  is observed. Assume that  $W \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2)$ . This is now a model of censoring with unobserved heterogeneity ( $W$  is the unobserved heterogeneity). Can  $\beta$  be consistently estimated in this model? Explain.

### *Problem 2 (A variation of the Tobit model)*

Consider the model:

$$Y = \begin{cases} Y^* & \text{if } 0 < Y^* \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } Y^* \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } Y^* > 1 \end{cases},$$
$$Y^* = X\beta + U,$$

where  $U$  and  $X$  are independent and  $U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ .

- (a) Write the log-likelihood of an iid sample  $\{(y_i, x_i) : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ .
- (b) What are the asymptotic properties of the Maximum Likelihood estimator?
- (c) How could you perform a specification test of this model?

### *Problem 3 (Applied Tobit)*

In the following we estimate alcohol consumption. Use the 'tobacco.csv' data set to solve the following problems:

1. Estimate a linear model of alcohol consumption:

$$\begin{aligned} alcohol_i = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 age + \beta_2 nadults + \beta_3 nkids + \beta_4 nkids^2 \\ & + \beta_5 \ln x + \beta_6 \ln x * age + \beta_7 \ln x * nadults + u_i \end{aligned}$$

2. In the estimation above are we dealing with problems due to data censoring or a corner solution model?
3. Estimate the model from question 1 using tobit.
4. Calculate meaningful marginal effects, that is partial effects on both  $E(Y|X)$  and  $E(Y|X, Y > 0)$  and compare your results from the tobit estimation to the results from the OLS estimation.
5. Interpret the marginal effects. In particular, what is the effect of  $\log(\text{total expenditure})$  on alcohol consumption?
6. Extend the tobit model including the square of  $\log(\text{total expenditure})$  as well as age squared.
7. Test whether the quadratic terms are relevant using both a Wald test and a likelihood ratio test.
8. Estimate a probit model on the probability of consuming any alcohol. Compare the parameter estimates to the tobit model.
9. *For the more adventurous:* Perform a LM test for heteroskedasticity in the tobit model related to age (*age*) and the number of adults (*nadults*).

*Problem 4 (RECAP + Probit Selection Model)* [Is not being presented in tutorial]

(26 marks total) Consider the model

$$\begin{aligned}Y_1^* &= X_1\beta + \delta Y_2 + U_1, \\Y_2^* &= X_1\gamma_1 + X_2\gamma_2 + U_2,\end{aligned}$$

where furthermore  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  are outcome variables that are determined by the realizations of  $(Y_1^*, Y_2^*)$ . Below parts a-c of this question examine how estimation of model parameters can be performed under various rules for the determination of these variables. Estimation is to be based on a random sample of observations of  $(Y_1, Y_2, X_1, X_2)$ , denoted  $\{(y_{1i}, y_{2i}, x_{1i}, x_{2i}) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  throughout.  $X$  is used as shorthand for the exogenous variables  $(X_1, X_2)$ ,  $\gamma \equiv (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2)'$  denotes the parameters of the equation for  $Y_2^*$ , and  $\theta \equiv (\beta', \delta')'$  denotes the parameters of the equation for  $Y_1^*$ . For every estimator you propose, be sure to provide any additional assumptions you require to ensure your estimator is consistent for full credit.

(a) Suppose that  $Y_1 = Y_1^*$  and  $Y_2 = Y_2^*$ .

- i. Write down the OLS estimator for  $\gamma$  and explain what conditions are required for it to be consistent.
- ii. Suppose  $E[U_1|X] = 0$ . Provide a consistent estimator for  $\theta$  and explain why it is consistent.

(b) Assume now and for part c as well that  $(U_1, U_2)$  are bivariate normally distributed with mean zero and variance matrix  $\Omega$ .

For parts b(i) and b(ii) only suppose that  $Y_1 = Y_1^*$  and  $Y_2 = 1[Y_2^* > 0]$ .

- i. Provide a consistent estimator for  $\gamma$ .
- ii. Provide a consistent estimator for  $\theta$  and explain why it is consistent.

(c) For this part assume that  $Y_1 = 1[Y_1^* > 0]$  and  $Y_2 = Y_2^*$ .

- i. Provide a consistent estimator for  $\gamma$ .
- ii. Describe an estimation method that will produce a consistent estimator for  $\theta \equiv (\beta', \delta')'$  and explain why it is consistent.