

PROBLEM SET 6

Problem 1 (Pooled OLS, Random Effects and Fixed Effects)

- (a) For $\gamma = 0$ we have $\mathbb{E}(x_{it}u_{i\tau}) = 0$. Thus, we find for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}} - \beta = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i x_i} \rightarrow_p \frac{\mathbb{E}x'_i u_i}{\mathbb{E}x'_i x_i} = 0,$$

i.e. $\hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}}$ is consistent. Analogously, one finds that $\hat{\beta}_{\text{GLS}}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\text{WG}}$ are consistent, i.e. all three estimators are consistent.

- (b) The GLS estimator has the smallest asymptotic variance.
 (c) For $\gamma \neq 0$ we have $\mathbb{E}(x_{it}u_{i\tau}) \neq 0$, and in particular $\mathbb{E}(x'_i u_i) \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(x'_i \Sigma^{-1} u_i) \neq 0$. Thus, the OLS and GLS estimator are NOT consistent in that case.

The WG estimator is still consistent, because $x'_i M u_i = x'_i M \varepsilon_i$, i.e. M projects out α_i completely, and therefore $\mathbb{E}(x'_i M u_i) = \mathbb{E}(x'_i M \varepsilon_i) = 0$. We thus have as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{WG}} - \beta = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i M u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i M x_i} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i M \varepsilon_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i M x_i} \rightarrow_p \frac{\mathbb{E}x'_i M \varepsilon_i}{\mathbb{E}x'_i M x_i} = 0.$$

Thus, only $\hat{\beta}_{\text{WG}}$ is consistent.

- (d) We have $\mathbb{E}(x_{it}\tilde{u}_{it}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(w_i\tilde{u}_{it}) = 0$, i.e. the regressors are exogenous, and one can also show that they are non-collinear. Thus, the pooled OLS estimator proposed here is indeed a consistent estimator for β and γ .

Problem 2 (Pooled OLS and Random Effects)

(a) As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}} - \beta = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' \Sigma^{-1} u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma^{-1} u_i)}{\mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i)} = 0,$$

where we applied the WLLN and the fact that $\mathbb{E}(x_{it} u_{i\tau}) = 0$. We have thus shown $\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}} \xrightarrow{p} \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. consistency of $\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}}$. The consistency argument for $\hat{\beta}^{\text{OLS}}$ is analogous.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b) } \Sigma &= \mathbb{E}(u_i u_i' | x_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2 & \sigma_\alpha^2 \\ \sigma_\alpha^2 & \sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Sigma^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sigma_\alpha^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^4} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2 & -\sigma_\alpha^2 \\ -\sigma_\alpha^2 & \sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we apply the WLLN and the CLT to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i &\xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i), \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' \Sigma^{-1} u_i &\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} \left[0, \mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma^{-1} u_i u_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i) \right] \\ &\sim_d \mathcal{N} \left[0, \mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Slutsky's theorem then gives

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}} - \beta \right) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' \Sigma^{-1} u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N} \left\{ 0, \left[\mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i) \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$

By analogous reasoning one finds that

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\beta}^{\text{OLS}} - \beta \right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N} \left\{ 0, \mathbb{E}(x_i' x_i)^{-1} \mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma x_i) \mathbb{E}(x_i' x_i)^{-1} \right\}.$$

Using the distributional assumptions on x_{it} , ε_{it} and α_i we can express the asymptotic variances of the estimators as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{AsyVar}(\hat{\beta}^{\text{OLS}}) &= \mathbb{E}(x_i' x_i)^{-1} \mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma x_i) \mathbb{E}(x_i' x_i)^{-1} \\
&= (2\sigma_x^2)^{-1} (2\sigma_x^2(\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2)) (2\sigma_x^2)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2}{2\sigma_x^2}. \\
\text{AsyVar}(\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}}) &= [\mathbb{E}(x_i' \Sigma^{-1} x_i)]^{-1} \\
&= \left[\frac{2\sigma_x^2(\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2)}{2\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sigma_\alpha^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^4} \right]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{2\sigma_\varepsilon^2 \sigma_\alpha^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^4}{2\sigma_x^2(\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\text{AsyVar}(\hat{\beta}^{\text{OLS}}) - \text{AsyVar}(\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}}) = \frac{\sigma_\alpha^4}{2\sigma_x^2(\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2)} > 0,$$

Thus, $\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}}$ has the smallest asymptotic variance.